

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/825,189	ARIMILLI ET AL.	
<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
Arpan P. Savla		2185	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application: 98**

(1) Arpan P. Savla. (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Eustace Isidore (Attorney). (4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview: 4 January 2008**

**Time: 5PM**

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

8

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

SANJIV SHAH  
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner and Applicant's representative spoke in order to correct the dependency of claim 8. The Examiner and Applicant's representative agreed to amend the claim 8 so that it depended from claim 2 instead of claim 1. This amendment is reflected in a supplemental notice of allowance along with an Examiner's amendment.